arXiv:1506.01669v1 [math.AP] 4 Jun 2015

Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems through Orlicz-Sobolev space

Claudianor O. Alves[*], Ailton R. Silva[†]
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática - UAMat
CEP: 58.429-900 - Campina Grande - PB - Brazil

Abstract

In this paper, we study existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear problems

$$-\Delta_{\Phi}u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u = f(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \ \ (N \geq 2),$$

where $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|}\phi(s)sds$ is a N-function, Δ_Φ is the Φ-Laplacian operator, ϵ is a positive parameter, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1-function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35J62, 46E30, 34B18

Keywords: Variational methods, Quasilinear problems, Orlicz-Sobolev space, Positive solutions

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear problems

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_{\Phi}u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u & = & f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \end{array} \right. \tag{P_ϵ}$$

---

[*] C.O. Alves was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil 304036/2013-7 and INCT-MAT, e-mail: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

[†] A.R. Silva, e-mail: ailton@dme.ufcg.edu.br

where $N \geq 2$, ϵ is a positive parameter, the operator $\Delta_\Phi u = \mathrm{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$, where $\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)s ds$, named Φ-Laplacian, is a natural extension of the p-Laplace operator, with p being a positive constant.

This class of problems arises in a lot of applications, such as

Nonlinear Elasticity: $\Phi(t) = (1+t^2)^\alpha - 1, \alpha \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2})$,
Plasticity: $\Phi(t) = t^p \ln(1+t), 1 < \frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4N}}{2} < p < N-1, N \geq 3$,
Generalized Newtonian Fluid: $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t s^{1-\alpha}(\sinh^{-1} s)^\beta ds, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$,
Non-Newtonian Fluid: $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$ for $p > 1$,
Plasma Physics: $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p + \frac{1}{q}|t|^q$ where $1 < p < q < N$ with $q \in (p, p^*)$.

The reader can find more details involving this subject in [15], [17], [21] and their references.

In the last years, problem (P_ϵ) has received a special attention for the case where $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}|t|^2$, that is, when it is of the form

$$-\Delta u + V(\epsilon x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where f is a C^1-function with subcritical or critical growth and V is a continuous function verifying some conditions. We believe that the two most famous conditions on V are the following:

Condition (V_0) (see Rabinowitz [33]):

$$V_\infty := \liminf_{|x| \to +\infty} V(x) > V_0 := \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0.$$

Condition (V_1) (see del Pino and Felmer [14] and Gui [24]):

$$V(x) \geq V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad (i)$$

and there is an open and bounded domain $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$V_0 < \inf_{x \in \partial\Lambda} V(x). \qquad (ii)$$

In the literature, the reader can find a lot of papers, where the existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions have been obtained in connection with the geometry of the function V. In some of them, it was proved that the maximum points of the solutions are close to the set

$$(M) \qquad M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) = V_0\},$$

when ϵ is small enough. Moreover, in a lot of papers, the multiplicity of solution is also related to topology richness of the set M. The reader can find more information about this subject in [7], [14], [18], [24], [30], [31], [33] and their references.

For the case where $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$ with $p > 1$ or $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p + \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$ with $q, p > 1$, the problem (P_ϵ) becomes

$$-\Delta_p u + V(\epsilon x)|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

and

$$-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\epsilon x)(|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

respectively. For these class of problems, the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions have also been considered in some papers, see for example, [2], [3], [8], [11], [12], [17], [26] and their references.

For the case where the function Φ belongs to a large class of functions, including for example,

$$\Phi(t) = (1 + t^2)^\alpha - 1 \text{ or } \Phi(t) = t^p \ln(1 + t),$$

we do not have found in the literature any paper where the existence, multiplicity and concentration of solutions have been studied for some of the above functions, which appear in important applications as mentioned before. Motivated by this fact, in the present paper we study the existence, multiplicity and concentration for a large class of functions Φ by supposing condition (V_0). However, we would like point out that we are finishing other paper, where the same type of study has been made, by assuming that V verifies (V_1).

Actually, we have observed that there are interesting papers studying the existence of solution for (P_ϵ) when $\epsilon = 1$, we would like to cite the papers [4], [9], [19], [20], [21], [28], [29], [34] and references therein.

In this work, we generalize the arguments used in [2, 3], in the sense that, we will obtain the same type of results for a large class of operators. In the proof our result, we will work with N-function theory and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. In the above references, the L^∞-estimate of some sequences was obtained by using interaction Moser techniques, which does not work well when we are working with a general class of quasilinear operators. Here, we overcome this difficulty showing new estimates by adapting some arguments found in [6], [22], [23], [25] and [35].

Related to functions ϕ and f, our hypotheses are the following:

Conditions on ϕ:

The function $\phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a C^1- function satisfying

(ϕ_1) $\phi(t)$, $(\phi(t)t)^{'} > 0$ for all $t > 0$.

(ϕ_2) There exist $l, m \in (1, N)$ such that

$$l \leq m < l^* = \frac{Nl}{N-l}$$

and

$$l \leq \frac{\phi(t)t^2}{\Phi(t)} \leq m \ \ \forall t \neq 0,$$

where

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \phi(s)sds.$$

(ϕ_3) The function $\dfrac{\phi(t)}{t^{m-2}}$ is nonincreasing in $(0, +\infty)$.

(ϕ_4) The function ϕ is monotone.

(ϕ_5) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\phi^{'}(t)t| \leq c\phi(t) \quad \forall\ t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Hereafter, we will say that $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_m$ if

$$\Phi(t) \geq |t|^m \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{$\mathcal{C}_m$}$$

Moreover, let us denote by γ the following real number

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_m, \\ \\ l, & \text{if } \Phi \notin \mathcal{C}_m. \end{cases}$$

Here, we would like to detach that the functions ϕ associated with each N-function mentioned in this introduction, except the N-function $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t s^{1-\alpha}(\sinh^{-1} s)^\beta ds$, verify the conditions (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_5).

Conditions on f:

The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1- function verifying:

(f_1) There are functions $r, b : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\limsup_{|t|\to 0} \frac{f^{'}(t)}{(r(|t|)|t|)^{'}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t|\to +\infty} \frac{|f^{'}(t)|}{(b(|t|)|t|)^{'}} < +\infty.$$

(f_2) There exists $\theta > m$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(t) \leq f(t)t \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^s f(s)ds.$$

(f_3) The function $\dfrac{f(t)}{t^{m-1}}$ is increasing for $t > 0$.

Related to functions r and b above, we assume that they are C^1- function satisfying the ensuing conditions:

(r_1) r is increasing.

(r_2) There exists a constant $\overline{c} > 0$ such that

$$|r^{'}(t)t| \leq \overline{c}r(t), \quad \forall\ t \geq 0.$$

(r_3) There exist positive constants r_1 and r_2 such that

$$r_1 \leq \frac{r(t)t^2}{R(t)} \leq r_2, \quad \forall t \neq 0,$$

where

$$R(t) = \int_0^{|t|} r(s)sds.$$

(r_4) The function R satisfies

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{R(t)}{\Phi(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t|\to +\infty} \frac{R(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0.$$

(b_1) b is increasing.

(b_2) There exists a constant $\widetilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$|b^{'}(t)t| \leq \widetilde{c}b(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

(b_3) There exist positive constants $b_1, b_2 \in (1, \gamma^*)$ verifying

$$b_1 \leq \frac{b(t)t^2}{B(t)} \leq b_2 \ \forall t \neq 0,$$

where $\gamma^* = \frac{N\gamma}{N-\gamma}$ and

$$B(t) = \int_0^{|t|} b(s)sds.$$

(b_4) The function B satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{B(t)}{\Phi(t)} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{|t| \to +\infty} \frac{B(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0,$$

where Φ_* is the Sobolev conjugate function, which is defined by inverse function of

$$G_\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{1+\frac{1}{N}}} ds.$$

Using the above hypotheses, we are able to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that* (ϕ_1)*-*(ϕ_5)*,* (r_1)*-*(r_4)*,* (b_1)*-*(b_4)*,* (f_1)*-*(f_3) *and* (V_0) *hold. Then, for any* $\delta > 0$ *small enough, there exists* $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ *such that* (P_ϵ) *has at least* $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ *positive solutions, for any* $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$*, where*

$$M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ dist(x, M) \leq \delta\}.$$

Moreover, if u_ϵ *denotes one of these solutions and* $x_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *is a global maximum point of* u_ϵ*, then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} V(\epsilon x_\epsilon) = V_0.$$

We would like point out that, if Y is a closed subset of a topological space X, the Lusternik-Schnirelman category $cat_X(Y)$ is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y.

2 Preliminaries about Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

In this section, we recall some properties of Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. We refer to [1, 19, 32] for the fundamental properties of these spaces. First of all, we recall that a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ is a N-function if:

(i) Φ is convex.

(ii) $\Phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

(iii) $\displaystyle\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\displaystyle\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = +\infty$.

(iv) Φ is even.

We say that a N-function Φ verifies the Δ_2-condition, denote by $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, if

$$\Phi(2t) \leq K\Phi(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for some constant $K > 0$. In what follows, fixed an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a N-function Φ, we define the Orlicz space associated with Φ as

$$L^{\Phi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \colon \ \int_\Omega \Phi\Big(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\Big) dx < +\infty \ \text{ for some } \ \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

The space $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with Luxemburg norm given by

$$\|u\|_\Phi = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi\Big(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\Big) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The complementary function $\widetilde{\Phi}$ associated with Φ is given by the Legendre's transformation, that is,

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(s) = \max_{t\geq 0}\{st - \Phi(t)\} \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 0.$$

The functions Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ are complementary each other. In [19, 32], we find the ensuing property involving $\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi}$:

$$\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2 \ \text{ if, and only if, } (\phi_2) \ \text{ holds.}$$

Moreover, we also have a Young type inequality given by

$$st \leq \Phi(t) + \widetilde{\Phi}(s) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Using the above inequality, it is possible to prove a Hölder type inequality, that is,

$$\Big| \int_\Omega uv dx \Big| \leq 2\|u\|_\Phi \|v\|_{\widetilde{\Phi}} \quad \forall u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall v \in L^{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega).$$

The corresponding Orlicz-Sobolev space is defined as

$$W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)=\Big\{u\in L^{\Phi}(\Omega)\ :\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\in L^{\Phi}(\Omega),\quad i=1,...,N\Big\}.$$

Usually, the Orlicz-Sobolev space is obtained by completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to norm

$$\|u\|=\|\nabla u\|_\Phi+\|u\|_\Phi.$$

The spaces $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive when Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ satisfy the Δ_2-condition. The condition Δ_2 implies that

$$u_n\to u \text{ in } \ L^{\Phi}(\Omega)\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\int_\Omega\Phi(|u_n-u|)dx\to 0$$

and

$$u_n\to u \text{ in } W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\int_\Omega\Phi(|\nabla u_n-\nabla u|)dx\to 0 \text{ and } \int_\Omega\Phi(|u_n-u|)dx\to 0.$$

In the literature, we have some important embeddings involving the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. In [1, 16], it is showed that if A is a N-function satisfying

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{A(t)}{\Phi(t)}<+\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\frac{A(t)}{\Phi_*(t)}<+\infty,$$

then the embedding

$$W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^A(\Omega)$$

is continuous. If Ω is a bounded domain, the embedding is compact.

Before to conclude this introduction, we will prove a more general result than Theorem 1.2 found in [5]. In our work, the hypothesis (ϕ_5) is weaker that $(\phi_3)'$ and we do not use the hypotheses $(\phi_2)'$ from that paper. Here, we can work with the function $\phi(t)=2\alpha(1+t^2)^{\alpha-1}$, which cannot be considered in [5].

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ *be a smooth domain. Assume* (ϕ_1)*-*(ϕ_5)*. Let* (η_n) *be a sequence of vector fields,* $\eta_n:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^N$ *satisfying*

$$\eta_n\in L^\Phi(\Omega)\times\ldots\times L^\Phi(\Omega)\quad\textit{and}\quad\eta_n(x)\to 0 \ \textit{a.e. } x\in\Omega.$$

If $(\|\eta_n\|_\Phi)$ *is bounded and* $a(y):=\phi(|y|)y$ *for all* $y\in\mathbb{R}^N$*, then*

$$\int_\Omega\widetilde{\Phi}(|a(\eta_n+w)-a(\eta_n)-a(w)|)dx=o_n(1),$$

for each $w\in L^\Phi(\Omega)\times\ldots\times L^\Phi(\Omega)$*.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same explored in [5, Theorem 2.1]. If ϕ is decreasing, the proof is the same. However, if ϕ is non-decreasing, it is necessary to make a little adjustment. As done in [5], the key point in the proof is to show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C, c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|a(\eta_n + w) - a(\eta_n)| \leq C\big(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)| + c_\varepsilon\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|\big), \qquad (2.1)$$

where C is independent of ε.

We can prove this fact using only the hypotheses (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_5). In fact, just note that for each $w \in L^\Phi(\Omega)\times\ldots\times L^\Phi(\Omega)$, the assumptions (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_5) imply

$$|a_i(\eta_n + w) - a_i(\eta_n)| \quad \leq \quad C_1\big(|w|\phi(|\eta_n|) + |w|\phi(|w|)\big), \qquad (2.2)$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. Define the sequence $H_n = C_1|w|\phi(|\eta_n|)$.

Claim 2.2 *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C_2, c_\varepsilon > 0$, such that*

$$H_n(x) \leq C_2\big(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)| + c_\varepsilon\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|\big) \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \qquad (2.3)$$

Indeed, fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we set

$$A_x = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \ |w(x)| \leq |\eta_n(x)|\} \ \text{ and } \ B_x := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \ |\eta_n(x)| \leq |w(x)|\}.$$

If $n \in A_x$, [4, Lemma 2.2] and Δ_2-condition lead to

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x)|\eta_n(x)| \quad &= \quad \frac{c|w(x)|}{\varepsilon}\big(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)|\big) \\ &\overbrace{\leq}^{Young} \quad \Phi(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}|w(x)|) + \widetilde{\Phi}(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)|) \\ &\leq \quad C_\varepsilon\Phi(|w(x)|) + \varepsilon\Phi(2\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)) \\ &\leq \quad C_\varepsilon\Phi(|w(x)|) + C_3\varepsilon\Phi(|\eta_n(x)|) \\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{l}\big(C_\varepsilon\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|^2 + C_3\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)|^2\big), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$H_n(x) \leq C_4\big(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)| + c_\varepsilon\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|\big).$$

Now, if $n \in B_x$, using the fact that ϕ is increasing, we have that

$$H_n(x) \leq C_2\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|,$$

and so, there exists $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$H_n(x) \leq C_5\big(\varepsilon\phi(|\eta_n(x)|)|\eta_n(x)| + c_\varepsilon\phi(|w(x)|)|w(x)|\big),$$

showing the Claim 2.2. By (2.2) and (2.3), we get (2.1). ■

3 Autonomous case

In this section, our goal is to prove the existence of positive ground state solution for the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_{\Phi} u + \mu\phi(|u|)u & = & f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \end{array} \right. \tag{P_μ}$$

where μ is a positive parameter and the functions ϕ and f verify the hypotheses mentioned in the introduction. We recall that $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution (weak solution) of (P_μ) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u|)uvdx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)vdx \ \ \forall v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Here, we would like point out that the above class of problems was considered in [4]. However, in that paper, it was not established the existence of ground state solution, characterization of the mountain pass level as the minimum of the energy function on the Nehari, regularity and positiveness of the solutions. This study is crucial for us, because some of our estimates depend these information.

Since we intend to find positive solutions, we will assume that

$$f(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < 0. \tag{3.1}$$

From now on, Y_μ denotes the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{Y_\mu} = \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi} + \mu \|u\|_{\Phi}.$$

It is easy to check that embeddings

$$Y_\mu \hookrightarrow L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\mu \hookrightarrow L^{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are continuous. Moreover, let us denote by $E_\mu : Y_\mu \to \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to (P_μ) given by

$$E_\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx.$$

Using standard arguments, it follows that $E_\mu \in C^1(Y_\mu, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$E_\mu^{'}(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u|)uvdx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)vdx,$$

for all $u, v \in Y_\mu$.

Note that $u \in Y_\mu$ is a weak solution of (P_μ) if, and only if, u is a critical point of E_μ. Moreover, it is very important to observe that if $u \in Y_\mu$ is a critical point of E_μ, then u is nonnegative, because the equality $E'(u)u_- = 0$ yields $u_- = 0$, where $u_- = \max\{-u, 0\}$.

Arguing as in [4, Lemma 4.1], the functional E_μ verifies the mountain pass geometry. Then, we can apply a version of Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition found in [37], which assures the existence of a $(PS)_{d_\mu}$ sequence $(u_n) \subset Y_\mu$, that is, a sequence satisfying

$$E_\mu(u_n) \to d_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad E_\mu^{'}(u_n) \to 0,$$

where d_μ is the mountain level of E_μ, that is,

$$d_\mu = \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_\mu(\alpha(t))$$

with

$$\Gamma := \{\alpha \in C\big([0,1], Y_\mu\big);\ E_\mu(0) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ E_\mu(\alpha(1)) < 0\}.$$

Next, we will show some characterizations of d_μ, which will be used later on. To this end, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ the Nehari manifold associated to E_μ given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu = \big\{u \in Y_\mu \backslash \{0\} \ : \ E_\mu^{'}(u)u = 0\big\}.$$

Furthermore, we denote by $d_{\mu,1}$ and $d_{\mu,2}$ the ensuing real numbers

$$d_{\mu,1} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} E_\mu(u) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mu,2} = \inf_{u \in Y_\mu \backslash \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} E_\mu(tu).$$

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_3), (r_1)-(r_4), (b_1)-(b_4) and (f_1)-(f_3) hold. Then, for each $u \in Y_\mu \backslash \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ and $E_\mu(t_u u) = \max_{t \geq 0} E_\mu(tu)$. Moreover,*

$$d_\mu = d_{\mu,1} = d_{\mu,2}.$$

Proof. For each $u \in Y_\mu \backslash \{0\}$, we define $g(t) = E_\mu(tu)$, that is,

$$g(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(tu)|)dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|tu|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(tu)dx.$$

By a direct computation, it follows that $g(t) > 0$ for t sufficiently small and $g(t) < 0$ for t sufficiently large. Thereby, there is $t_u > 0$ such that

$$g(t_u) = \max_{t \geq 0} g(t) = \max_{t \geq 0} E_\mu(tu),$$

implying that $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$.

Note that if $u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, then $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\} \neq 0$. Suppose that there exist $t_1, t_2 > 0$ such that $t_1 u, t_2 u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla(t_1 u)|)|\nabla(t_1 u)|^2 dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|t_1 u|)|t_1 u|^2 dx = \int_{[u>0]} f(t_1 u) t_1 u dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla(t_2 u)|)|\nabla(t_2 u)|^2 dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|t_2 u|)|t_2 u|^2 dx = \int_{[u>0]} f(t_2 u) t_2 u dx.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{\phi(t_1|\nabla u|)}{(t_1|\nabla u|)^{m-2}} - \frac{\phi(t_2|\nabla u|)}{(t_2|\nabla u|)^{m-2}} \right] |\nabla u|^m dx \\ + \quad &\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{\phi(t_1|u|)}{(t_1|u|)^{m-2}} - \frac{\phi(t_2|u|)}{(t_2|u|)^{m-2}} \right] |u|^m dx = \int_{[u>0]} \left[\frac{f(t_1 u)}{(t_1 u)^{m-1}} - \frac{f(t_2 u)}{(t_2 u)^{m-1}} \right] u^m dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (ϕ_3) and (f_3), $t_1 = t_2$. Now, the proof follows repeating the same arguments found in [37, Theorem 4.2]. ■

The next lemma establishes some preliminary properties involving the Palais-Smale sequences of E_μ.

Lemma 3.2 *Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E_μ . Then, (u_n) is a bounded sequence in Y_μ. Moreover, if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in Y_μ, for some subsequence, we have that*

(i) $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ *a. e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$,

(ii) $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ *a. e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$,

(iii) $E'_\mu(u) = 0$,

(iv) $u_{n-} = \max\{-u_n, 0\} \to 0$ *in* Y_μ,

(v) $u_{n+} = \max\{u_n, 0\}$ *is a* $(PS)_c$ *sequence for* E_μ. *Hence, we can assume that* $u_n \geq 0$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and so,* $u \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of (i), (ii) and (iii) follow using similar argument explored in [4, Lemma 4.3]. The proof of (iv) follows as in [3, Lemma 2.3], while that (v) is an immediate consequence of (iv). ■

Lemma 3.3 *Let* $(u_n) \subset Y_\mu$ *be a* $(PS)_c$ *sequence for* E_μ *with* $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ *in* Y_μ. *Then,*

a) $u_n \to 0$ *in* Y_μ,

or

b) There exist constants $R_0, \tau > 0$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_{R_0}(y)} \Phi(|u_n|)dx \geq \tau > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that *b*) does not hold. Then, using a Lions type result for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces developed in [4], we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|)dx \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

By (f_1)-(f_2), (r_3)-(r_4), (ϕ_2) and (b_3), for each $\eta > 0$, there exists $c_\eta > 0$, such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \eta c_1 \Phi(|u_n|) + c_\eta b_2 B(|u_n|). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, gathering the boundedness of (u_n) in $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \to 0,$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|u_n|)|u_n|^2 dx \to 0.$$

The last limit combined with (ϕ_2) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|)dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u_n|)dx \to 0,$$

implying that $u_n \to 0$ in Y_μ. ■

Theorem 3.4 *Suppose that* (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_3), (r_1)-(r_4), (b_1)-(b_4), (f_1)-(f_3) *and* (V_0) *hold. Then,* (P_μ) *has a nonnegative ground state solution.*

Proof. The result follows combining the mountain pass theorem with Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. ■

3.1 Behavior asymptotic, regularity and positiveness of solutions

In this section, we will study the behavior asymptotic, regularity and positiveness of the nonnegatives solutions of (P_μ). Hereafter, we adapt some arguments found in [6, 23, 35].

Lemma 3.5 *Let $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a solution of (P_μ), $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R_0 > 0$. Then,*

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^\gamma dx \leq c\Bigg(\int_{A_{k,s}} \Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*} dx + (k^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{k,s}|\Bigg),$$

where $0 < t < s < R_0$, $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$, k_0 to be chosen and $A_{k,\rho} = \{x \in B_\rho(x_0) \ : \ u(x) > k\}$.

Proof. Let u be a weak solution of (P_μ), $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R_0 > 1$. Moreover, fix $0 < t < s < 1 < R_0$ and $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ verifying

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \quad supp\xi \subset B_s(x_0), \quad \xi \equiv 1 \text{ on } B_t(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \xi| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}.$$

For $k \geq 1$, set $\eta = \xi^m (u-k)_+$ and

$$J = \int_{A_{k,s}} \Phi(|\nabla u|)\xi^m dx.$$

Using η as a test function and (ϕ_2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} lJ &\leq m\int_{A_{k,s}} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u||\nabla \xi|\xi^{m-1}(u-k)_+ dx \\ &\quad -\mu\int_{A_{k,s}} \phi(|u|)u\xi^m(u-k)_+ dx + \int_{A_{k,s}} f(u)\xi^m(u-k)_+ dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (f_1), (r_3)-(r_4) and (ϕ_2), there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$lJ \leq m\int_{A_{k,s}} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u||\nabla \xi|\xi^{m-1}(u-k)_+ dx + c_1\int_{A_{k,s}} b(|u|)|u|\xi^m(u-k)_+ dx.$$

For each $\tau \in (0,1)$, the Young's inequalities gives

$$\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u||\nabla \xi|\xi^{m-1}(u-k)_+ \leq \widetilde{\Phi}(\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|\xi^{m-1}\tau) + \Phi(\frac{|\nabla \xi|}{\tau}(u-k)_+).$$

It follows from [19, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5]

$$\Phi\Big(\frac{(u-k)_+}{s-t}\Big) \leq \Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^m + 1$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|\xi^{m-1}\tau) \leq (\xi^{m-1}\tau)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\widetilde{\Phi}(\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|).$$

Now, fixing $\vartheta = \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u||\nabla\xi|\xi^{m-1}(u-k)_+$, and using the above inequalities together with the Δ_2-condition, we see that

$$\int_{A_{k,s}} \vartheta dx \quad \leq \quad c_2\tau^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\int_{A_{k,s}}\xi^m\Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + c_3\int_{A_{k,s}}\Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*}dx + c_6|A_{k,s}|.$$

Choosing τ such that $mc_2\tau^{\frac{m}{m-1}} < l$, we derive

$$J \quad \leq \quad c_1\int_{A_{k,s}} b(|u|)|u|\xi^m(u-k)_+dx + c_3\int_{A_{k,s}}\Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*}dx + c_7|A_{k,s}|. \quad (3.4)$$

By Young's inequalities,

$$b(|u|)|u|\xi^m(u-k)_+ \quad \leq \quad \widetilde{B}(b(|u|)|u|\xi^m) + B(|u-k|),$$

from where it follows that

$$\int_{A_{k,s}} b(|u|)|u|\xi^m(u-k)_+dx \leq c_4\int_{A_{k,s}} B(|u-k|)dx + \int_{A_{k,s}} B(|k|)dx.$$

Applying [19, Lemma 2.1] for function B, we find

$$B\Big(\frac{(u-k)_+}{s-t}\Big) \leq \Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{b_2} + 1.$$

Now, using that $\gamma^* > b_2$, we get

$$\int_{A_{k,s}} b(|u|)|u|\xi^m(u-k)_+dx \quad \leq \quad c_5\int_{A_{k,s}}\Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*}dx + c_8(k^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{k,s}|.$$

From (3.4) and the inequality above,

$$J \leq C\Bigg(\int_{A_{k,s}}\Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*}dx + (k^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{k,s}|\Bigg).$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_m$, as $\xi \equiv 1$ on $B_t(x_0)$, we obtain

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^m dx \leq c\Bigg(\int_{A_{k,s}}\Big|\frac{u-k}{s-t}\Big|^{\gamma^*}dx + (k^{\gamma^*}+1)|A_{k,s}|\Bigg).$$

On the other hand, if $\Phi \notin \mathcal{C}_m$, the inequality

$$t^l \leq \Phi(t) + 1 \ \ \forall t \geq 0,$$

leads to

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^l dx \leq c\bigg(\int_{A_{k,s}} \Big| \frac{u-k}{s-t} \Big|^{\gamma^*} dx + (k^{\gamma^*} + 1)|A_{k,s}| \bigg),$$

finishing the proof. ■

The lemma below is crucial in our work, because it shows that the solutions of (P_μ) are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and they go to zero at infinity.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative solution of (P_μ). Then, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Furthermore,*

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} u(x) = 0.$$

Proof. We will divide our proof into three steps.

Step 1: $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

To begin with, fix $R_1 > 0$, $K_0 \geq 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Consider $K > K_0$ and define

$$\sigma_n = \frac{R_1}{2} + \frac{R_1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad \overline{\sigma}_n = \frac{\sigma_n + \sigma_{n+1}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad K_n = \frac{K}{2}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\Big).$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, fix

$$J_n = \int_{A_{K_n,\sigma_n}} ((u - K_n)_+)^{\gamma^*} dx$$

and

$$\xi_n = \xi\bigg(\frac{2^{n+1}}{R_1}\Big(|x| - \frac{R_1}{2} \Big) \bigg) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\xi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \quad \xi(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

From definition of ξ_n,

$$\xi_n = 1 \text{ in } B_{\sigma_{n+1}}(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = 0 \text{ outside } B_{\overline{\sigma}_n}(x_0),$$

consequently

$$J_{n+1} \;\; \leq \;\; \int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} ((u-K_{n+1})_+\xi_n)^{\gamma^*}dx.$$

As $(u-K_{n+1})_+\xi_n \in W_0^{1,\gamma}(B_{R_1}(x_0))$, it follows from continuous imbedding and Poincaré's inequality that

$$J_{n+1}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^*}} \leq C(N,\gamma)\int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} |\nabla((u-K_{n+1})_+\xi_n)|^{\gamma}dx.$$

Now, proceeding in the same way as in [6, Lemma 5.5], we find the inequality

$$J_n \leq CA^{\eta}J_n^{1+\eta},$$

where $C = C(N,\gamma,\gamma^*,R_1)$, $A = 2^{(\gamma+\gamma^*)\frac{\gamma^*}{\gamma}}$ and $\eta = \frac{\gamma^*}{\gamma} - 1$.

We claim that

$$J_0 \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}}A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed, note that,

$$J_0 = \int_{A_{K_0,\sigma_0}} (u-K_0)_+^{\gamma^*}dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u-K_0)_+^{\gamma^*}dx.$$

Then, by the Lebesgue's Theorem, $\lim\limits_{K_0\to+\infty} J_0 = 0$, from where it follows that (3.5) holds for $K_0 > 0$ large enough. Thus, by [25, Lemma 4.7],

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_n = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_n = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{A_{K_n,\sigma_n}} ((u-K_n)_+)^{\gamma^*}dx = \int_{A_{\frac{K}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((u-\frac{K}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*}dx.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{A_{\frac{K}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((u-\frac{K}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*}dx = 0,$$

leading to

$$u(x) \leq \frac{K}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } B_{\frac{R_1}{2}}(x_0).$$

Recalling that x_0 is arbitrary, the last inequality ensures that

$$u(x) \leq \frac{K}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

showing that $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Step 2: $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$**.**

This regularity follows applying the results found in DiBenedetto [15] and Lieberman [27].

Step 3: $\lim\limits_{|x|\to+\infty} u(x) = 0$**.**

Repeating the same arguments used in Step 1 with $K = \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $K_0 = \dfrac{\delta}{4}$ for $\delta > 0$, we find

$$J_0 = \int_{A_{K_0,\sigma_0}} (u - K_0)_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx \leq \int_{B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)} (u - \frac{\delta}{4})_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx.$$

Since

$$\lim_{|x_0|\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)} (u - \frac{\delta}{4})_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx = 0,$$

we also have

$$\lim_{|x_0|\to+\infty} J_0 = 0.$$

Then, there exists $R_* > 0$, such that

$$J_0 \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}} A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}} \text{ for } |x_0| > R_*.$$

Applying the Lemma [25, Lemma 4.7],

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_n = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x_0| > R_*,$$

that is,

$$\int_{A_{\frac{\delta}{4},\frac{R_1}{2}}} (u - \frac{\delta}{4})_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x_0| > R_*.$$

The continuity of u yields

$$u(x) \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R_*}(0),$$

finishing the proof of the lemma. ■

Thanks to Lemma 3.6, we are able to prove the positiveness of the ground state solution obtained in Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.7 *Let $u \in Y_\mu \backslash \{0\}$ be a nonnegative solution of (P_μ). Then, u is positive solution.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, the regularity theory found in [27] implies that $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$. Using this fact, in the sequel, we fix $M_1 > \max\{\|\nabla u\|_\infty, 1\}$ and

$$\widetilde{\phi}(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t), & t \leq M_1 \\ \dfrac{\phi(M_1)}{M_1^{l-2}} t^{l-1}, & t \geq M_1. \end{cases}$$

A simple computation yields there exists $\alpha_1 > 0$ verifying

$$\widetilde{\phi}(|y|)|y|^2 \geq \alpha_1 |y|^l - \alpha_1 \ \ \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.6}$$

Using the above function, we also define the function

$$\widetilde{A}(y) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \widetilde{\phi}(|y|) y,$$

which verifies

$$\widetilde{A}(y) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \phi(|y|) y \ \text{ for } \ |y| \leq M_1$$

and

$$|\widetilde{A}(y)| \leq c|y|^{l-1} \ \ \forall y \in \Omega,$$

for some positive constant c. By (3.6),

$$y\widetilde{A}(y) = \alpha^{-1} \widetilde{\phi}(|y|)|y|^2 \geq |y|^l - 1 \ \ \forall y \in \Omega.$$

Setting $B(x) = \dfrac{1}{\alpha_1}\big(\mu\phi(|u(x)|)u(x) - f(u(x))\big)$, we infer that u is a weak solution of the quasilinear problem

$$-div\widetilde{A}(\nabla u(x)) + B(x) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega.$$

Since Ω is arbitrary, by [36, Theorem 1.1], we deduce that u is a positive solution. ■

4 Existence of positive ground state solution for the Nonautonomous case

In this section, our first goal is to prove the existence of positive ground state solution for the following quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u + V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)u &= f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \quad u(x) > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \quad u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{P_ϵ}$$

when ϵ is small enough. To this end, let us denote by $I_\epsilon : X_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to (P_ϵ) given by

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx,$$

where X_ϵ denotes the subspace of $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ given by

$$X_\epsilon = \left\{ u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \,:\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx < +\infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\epsilon = \|\nabla u\|_\Phi + \|u\|_{\Phi,V_\epsilon},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\Phi,V_\epsilon} := \inf\left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi\Big(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\Big)dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

By (V_0), the embeddings

$$X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are continuous. Using the above embeddings, it is standard to show that $I_\epsilon \in C^1(X_\epsilon, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$I_\epsilon^{'}(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\phi(|u|)uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v dx,$$

for all $u, v \in X_\epsilon$. Thereby, $u \in X_\epsilon$ is a weak solution of (P_ϵ) if, and only if, u is a critical point of I_ϵ. Furthermore, by (3.1), the critical points of I_ϵ are nonnegative.

Moreover, as in [4, Lemma 4.1], I_ϵ verifies the mountain pass geometry. Therefore, applying again a version of Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition found in [37], there exists a $(PS)_{c_\epsilon}$ sequence $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$, that is, a sequence satisfying

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c_\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) \to 0,$$

where c_ϵ is the mountain level of I_ϵ. Arguing as in Lemma 3.1, we can prove that c_ϵ verifies the equality below

$$c_\epsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} I_\epsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in X_\epsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\epsilon(tu),$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ denotes the Nehari manifold related to I_ϵ given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \big\{ u \in X_\epsilon \setminus \{0\} \ : \ I_\epsilon^{'}(u)u = 0 \big\}.$$

Our first lemma shows that the Nehari manifolds has a positive distance from the origin in X_ϵ and its proof follows by using standard arguments.

Lemma 4.1 *For all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, there exists $k > 0$, which is independent of ϵ, such that*

$$\|u\|_\epsilon > k.$$

The lemma below establishes some properties involving the (PS) sequences for I_ϵ. Since it follows repeating the same arguments used in Lemma 3.2, we will omit its proof.

Lemma 4.2 *Let (u_n) be a sequence $(PS)_c$. Then, (u_n) is a bounded sequence in X_ϵ. Moreover, if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ, for some subsequence, we have that*

(i) $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ *a. e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$,

(ii) $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ *a. e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$,

(iii) $I_\epsilon^{'}(u) = 0$,

(iv) $u_{n-} = \max\{-u_n, 0\} \to 0$ *in* X_ϵ.

(v) $u_{n+} = \max\{u_n, 0\}$ *is a* $(PS)_c$ *sequence for* I_ϵ. *Hence, we can assume that* $u_n \geq 0$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and so,* $u \geq 0$.

The next lemma is a version of Lemma 3.3 with E_μ replaced by I_ϵ, and the proof can be made using the same ideas.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_ϵ with $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in X_ϵ. Then,* *a)* $u_n \to 0$ *in* X_ϵ,

or

b) *There exist constants* $R_0, \tau > 0$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_{R_0}(y)} \Phi(|u_n|)dx \geq \tau > 0.$$

The next lemma is crucial to show that I_ϵ verifies the (PS) condition at some levels.

Lemma 4.4 *Assume that* $V_\infty < +\infty$ *and let* $(v_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ *be a* $(PS)_c$ *sequence for* I_ϵ *with* $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ *in* X_ϵ*. If* $v_n \nrightarrow 0$ *in* X_ϵ*, then* $d_{V_\infty} \leq c$.

Proof. Let $(t_n) \subset (0, +\infty)$ be a sequence such that $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$. Adapting the same arguments explored in [3, Lemma 3.3], we conclude that (t_n) satisfies

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} t_n \leq 1.$$

Next, we will study the following cases:

Case 1. $\limsup_{n\to+\infty} t_n = 1.$

In this case, there exists a subsequence of (t_n), still denoted by itself, such that $t_n \to 1$. Using the fact that (v_n) is bounded in X_ϵ together with the condition (V_0), given $\eta > 0$, there is $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon(v_n) - I_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \quad \geq \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[\Phi(|\nabla v_n|) - \Phi(|\nabla t_n v_n|)\Big]dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)\Big]dx \\ & + V_\infty \int_{B^c_{R_0}(0)} \Big[\Phi(|v_n|) - \Phi(|t_n v_n|)\Big]dx - \eta C_4 + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

From the mean value theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[\Phi(|\nabla v_n|) - \Phi(|\nabla t_n v_n|)\Big]dx = o_n(1), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[\Phi(|v_n|) - \Phi(|t_n v_n|)\Big]dx = o_n(1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)\Big]dx = o_n(1).$$

Then,

$$c = I_\epsilon(v_n) + o_n(1) \geq d_{V_\infty} + I_\epsilon(v_n) - I_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) + o_n(1) \geq d_{V_\infty} - C_4\eta + o_n(1).$$

As η is arbitrary, taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$, we derive that $c \geq d_{V_\infty}$.

Case 2. $\limsup_{n\to+\infty} t_n = t_0 < 1$.

In this case, we may suppose that there exists a subsequence of (t_n), still denoted by (t_n), satisfying $t_n \to t_0$ and $t_n < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (ϕ_3) and (f_3), the functions $P(s) = \Phi(s) - \frac{1}{m}\phi(s)s^2$ and $Q(s) = \frac{1}{m}f(s)s - F(s)$ are increasing in $(0, +\infty)$, thus

$$d_{V_\infty} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(|\nabla v_n|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)P(|v_n|)dx + 2\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|v_n|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Q(v_n)dx,$$

implying that

$$d_{V_\infty} \leq c_1\eta + I_\epsilon(v_n) - \frac{1}{m}I_\epsilon'(v_n)v_n = C_4\eta + c + o_n(1).$$

As η is arbitrary, taking the limit of $n \to +\infty$, it follows that $c \geq d_{V_\infty}$. ■

The next two results are technical and they will use to show the local Palais-Smale condition for I_ϵ. The first one is an immediate consequence of a result Brezis-Lieb in [10] and it has the ensuing statement

Lemma 4.5 *Assume that ϕ satisfies (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_3). Suppose also that $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_ϵ with*

$$u_n(x) \to u(x) \text{ and } \nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla v_n|)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u_n|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla u|)dx + o_n(1), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|v_n|)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n|)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u|)dx + o_n(1), \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(v_n)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx + o_n(1), \tag{4.3}$$

where $v_n = u_n - u$.

Proposition 4.6 *Let $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_ϵ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ. Then*

$$I_\epsilon(v_n) = I_\epsilon(u_n) - I_\epsilon(u) + o_n(1) \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n) = o_n(1), \tag{4.5}$$

where $v_n = u_n - u$.

Proof. The proof of (4.4) follows from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3). To prove (4.5), it is sufficient to show that

$$\|I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n) - I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) + I_\epsilon^{'}(u)\| = o_n(1).$$

Let $w \in X_\epsilon$ with $\|w\|_\epsilon \leq 1$ and $Q_\epsilon(w) = |\big(I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n) - I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) + I_\epsilon^{'}(u)\big)w|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\epsilon(w) \quad \leq \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big|\phi(|\nabla(v_n+u)|)\nabla(v_n+u) - \phi(|\nabla v_n|)\nabla v_n - \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u\big||\nabla w| dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big|\phi(|v_n+u|)(v_n+u) - \phi(|v_n|)v_n - \phi(|u|)u\big||w| V(\epsilon x) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big|(f(v_n+u) - f(v_n) - f(u))w\big| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$Q_\epsilon(w) \quad \leq \quad o_n(1)\|w\|_\epsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big|f(u_n)w - f(v_n)w - f(u)w\big| dx. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $w \in X_\epsilon$, using (f_1), (r_3) and Hölder inequality combined with compact embedding and Lebesgue's Theorem yields, for each $\eta > 0$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big|f(u_n)w - f(v_n)w - f(u)w\big| dx \leq o_n(1) + c_1\eta + \int_{B^c_{R_0}(0)} \big|f(u_n)w - f(v_n)w\big| dx.$$

Now, it is enough to show that

$$\int_{B^c_{R_0}(0)} \big|f(v_n+u)w - f(v_n)w\big| dx = o_n(1), \text{ uniformly for } \|w\|_\epsilon \leq 1. \tag{4.7}$$

By (f_1), (r_1)-(r_2) and (b_1)-(b_2),

$$|f(v_n+u) - f(v_n) \leq c_1 r(|v_n|)|u| + c_1 r(|u|)|u| + c_2 b(|v_n|)|u| + c_2 b(|u|)|u|.$$

Now, using (r_4) and (b_4), we derive that

$$\sup_{\|w\|_\epsilon \leq 1} \int_{B^c_{R_0}(0)} \big|f(v_n+u)w - f(v_n)w\big| dx = o_n(1).$$

Hence, by (4.6) and (4.7),

$$\sup_{\|w\|_\epsilon \le 1} Q_\epsilon(w) = o_n(1),$$

implying that

$$\|I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n)\| = o_n(1).$$

$$\blacksquare$$

The next result establishes a compact embedding involving the space X_ϵ. The particular cases where $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$ for $N > p > 1$ and $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p + \frac{1}{q}|t|^q$ for $N > q > p > 1$ were considered in [13] and [3] respectively.

Lemma 4.7 *If $V_\infty = +\infty$, the embedding $X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact. In particular, the embedding $X_\epsilon \hookrightarrow L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact, if B is a N-function satisfying (b_4).*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ be a sequence bounded with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ. For each $\eta > 0$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$V(\epsilon x) > \frac{1}{\eta}, \quad |x| > R_0.$$

Thereby,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u_n - u|)dx \le \eta \int_{B^c_{R_0}(0)} V(\epsilon x)\Phi(|u_n - u|)dx + o_n(1).$$

Here, we have used that

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{in} \quad L^\Phi(B_{R_0}(0)).$$

Using the boundedness of (u_n) and the arbitrariness of η, we can ensure that

$$u_n \to u \ \text{ in } \ L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

To conclude our proof, if B verifies (b_4), for each $\eta > 0$, there is $C_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n - u|)dx \le C_\eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u_n - u|)dx + \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_*(|u_n - u|)dx, \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As η is arbitrary, using the boundedness of $(u_n - u)$ in $L^{\Phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ together with the fact that $u_n \to u$ in $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n - u|)dx \to 0,$$

showing that $u_n \to u$ in $L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$. ■

The proposition below shows where the (PS) condition holds for I_ϵ.

Proposition 4.8 *Assume that $V_\infty < +\infty$. Then, I_ϵ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for any $c < d_{V_\infty}$. If $V_\infty = +\infty$, the $(PS)_c$ condition occurs for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ be a sequence such that $I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c$ and $I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) \to 0$. Setting $v_n = u_n - u$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.6

$$I_\epsilon(v_n) = c - I_\epsilon(u) + o_n(1) = \overline{c} + o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n) = o_n(1).$$

By (ϕ_2) and (f_2),

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon(u) &= I_\epsilon(u) - \frac{1}{m} I^{'}(u)u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{m}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2\big)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\frac{1}{m} f(u)u - F(u)\big)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\big(\Phi(|u|) - \frac{1}{m}\phi(|u|)|u|^2\big)dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $V_\infty < +\infty$. As (v_n) is a sequence $(PS)_{\overline{c}}$ and $\overline{c} \leq c < d_{V_\infty}$, the Lemma 4.4 yields

$$v_n \to 0 \quad \text{in } X_\epsilon,$$

showing that $u_n \to u$ in X_ϵ. Now, if $V_\infty = +\infty$, by Lemma 4.7, up to a subsequence, $v_n \to 0$ in the spaces $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^B(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (f_1), (r_3)-(r_4), (ϕ_2) and (b_3), we derive that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n)v_n dx \to 0.$$

The boundedness of (v_n) combined with the limit $I_\epsilon^{'}(v_n) = o_n(1)$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla v_n|)|\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)\phi(|v_n|)|v_n|^2 dx = o_n(1). \tag{4.8}$$

Gathering (4.8) with (ϕ_2), we conclude that $v_n \to 0$ in X_ϵ, or equivalently, $u_n \to u \quad \text{in } X_\epsilon$. ■

The next proposition shows that the (PS) condition holds for I_ϵ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ at some levels.

Proposition 4.9 *Assume that* $V_\infty < +\infty$. *Then,* I_ϵ *satisfies* $(PS)_c$ *condition on* $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ *for* $c \in (0, d_{V_\infty})$. *If* $V_\infty = +\infty$, *the* (PS) *condition occurs for any* $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ be a sequence verifying

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \| I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) \|_{*} = o_n(1).$$

Then, there exists $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) = \lambda_n J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) + o_n(1), \tag{4.9}$$

where $J_\epsilon : X_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\epsilon(x)\phi(|u|)|u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u dx.$$

From definition of J_ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(\phi^{'}(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n| + 2\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\big)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\epsilon(x)\big(\phi^{'}(|u_n|)|u_n| + 2\phi(|u_n|)\big)|u_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(f^{'}(u_n)(u_n)^2 + f(u_n)u_n\big) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality, (ϕ_3) and $I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n = 0$ combine to give

$$-J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(f^{'}(u_n)(u_n)^2 - (m-1)f(u_n)u_n\big) dx.$$

Since (u_n) is a bounded sequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X_ϵ. Moreover, there exists a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with positive measure such that $u > 0$ a.e. in Ω. Suppose that

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n = 0.$$

Using (f_3) and applying the Fatous' Lemma in the last inequality, we get

$$0 \geq \int_\Omega \big(f^{'}(u)(u)^2 - (m-1)f(u)u\big) dx > 0,$$

which is an absurd. Hence $\limsup\limits_{n \to +\infty} J_\epsilon^{'}(u_n)u_n < 0$, implying that $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$ for some subsequence. This combined with (4.9) gives $I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) = o_n(1)$, showing that (u_n) is $(PS)_c$. Now, the result follows from Proposition 4.8. ■

As a by product of the arguments used in the proof of the last proposition, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.10 *The critical points of functional I_ϵ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ are critical points of I_ϵ in X_ϵ.*

Using the previous result proved in this section, we are able to prove the existence of positive ground state solution for (P_ϵ) when ϵ small enough.

Theorem 4.11 *Assume that (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_5), (r_1)-(r_4), (b_1)-(b_4), (f_1)-(f_3) and (V_0) hold. Then, there exists $\overline{\epsilon} > 0$ such that (P_ϵ) has a nonnegative ground state solution u_ϵ for all $0 < \epsilon < \overline{\epsilon}$.*

Proof. We begin recalling that I_ϵ satisfies the mountain pass geometry. Then, there exists $(u_n) \subset X_\epsilon$ satisfying

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c_\epsilon \text{ and } I_\epsilon^{'}(u_n) \to 0,$$

where c_ϵ denotes the mountain pass level associated with I_ϵ. If $V_\infty = +\infty$, by Proposition 4.8, there exists $u \in X_\epsilon$ such that, for some subsequence, $u_n \to u \quad \text{in} \quad X_\epsilon$. Therefore,

$$I_\epsilon(u) = c_\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad I_\epsilon^{'}(u) = 0.$$

If $V_\infty < +\infty$, we must prove that $c_\epsilon \in (0, d_{V_\infty})$ for ϵ small enough. To do that, consider without loss of generality that $V(0) = V_0$ and $\mu \in (V_0, V_\infty)$. Then, by definition of the mountain pass level, we have that

$$d_{V_0} < d_\mu < d_{V_\infty}.$$

Thus, there exists $w \in Y_\mu$ with compact support, such that

$$E_\mu(w) = \max_{t \geq 0} E_\mu(tw) \text{ and } E_\mu(w) < d_{V_\infty}.$$

From (V_0), there exists $\overline{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$V(\epsilon x) < \mu, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall x \in supp w.$$

By a direct computation, $\max\limits_{t>0} I_\epsilon(tw) \leq E_\mu(w)$, and so,

$$c_\epsilon < d_{V_\infty}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \overline{\epsilon}).$$

Now, the theorem follows from Proposition 4.8. ■

5 Multiplicity of solutions to (P_ϵ)

In this section, our main goal is to show the existence of multiple solutions, and the behavior of their maximum points of the solutions in relation to the set M.

5.1 Preliminary results

In order to prove our main theorem, we must fix some notations. In what follows, $w \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes a positive ground state solution of (P_{V_0}), $\delta > 0$ is small enough and $\eta \in C_0^\infty([0,+\infty),[0,1])$ is given by

$$\eta(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq s \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad s \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Using the above notations, for each $y \in M$, we set

$$\Psi_{\epsilon,y}(x) = \eta(|\epsilon x - y|)w(\frac{\epsilon x - y}{\epsilon}).$$

Note that, $\Psi_{\epsilon,y} \in X_\epsilon$ for each $y \in M$. Thus, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y} \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ and

$$I_\epsilon(t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\epsilon(t\Psi_{\epsilon,y}).$$

Consequently, we can define $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon : M \to \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ by $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y) = t_\epsilon \Psi_{\epsilon,y}$. By construction, $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ has compact support for any $y \in M$.

Lemma 5.1 *The function $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ verifies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)) = d_{V_0}, \quad \textit{uniformly in} \quad y \in M.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for each $(y_n) \subset M$ and $(\epsilon_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\epsilon_n \to 0$, there is a subsequence such that

$$I_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) \to d_{V_0}.$$

Recall firstly that $J'_{\epsilon_n}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n) = 0$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{\phi}(|\nabla(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n x)\widehat{\phi}(|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)dx,$$

where $\widehat{\phi}(s) = \phi(s)s^2$ for all $s \geq 0$. Using (ϕ_2) and [19, Lemma 2.1],

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{\phi}(|\nabla(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\widehat{\phi}(|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)|)dx \\ &\leq m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big], \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1(t) = \max\{t^l, t^m\}$. On the other hand, considering the change of variable $z = \dfrac{\epsilon_n x - y_n}{\epsilon_n}$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n))\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t_{\epsilon_n}\eta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z))t_{\epsilon_n}\eta(|\epsilon_n z|)w(z)dx.$$

As $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)$ and $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0) \subset B_{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon_n}}(0)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} \frac{f(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z))}{(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z))^{m-1}}|t_{\epsilon_n}w(z)|^m dx \quad &\leq \quad m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, we know that w is a continuous function. Then, there is $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$w(z_0) = \min_{z \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} w(z),$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))}{(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{m-1}}\int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} |t_{\epsilon_n}w(z)|^m dx \quad &\leq \quad m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By (f_3), there are $d_1, d_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[d_1(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - d_2(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{-m}\big]t_{\epsilon_n}^m \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} |w(z)|^m dx \\ &\leq m\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n})\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Now, arguing by contradiction, we will suppose that, for some subsequence,

$$t_{\epsilon_n} \to +\infty \ \text{ and } \ t_{\epsilon_n} \geq 1 \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thereby, $\xi_1(t_{\epsilon_n}) = t_{\epsilon_n}^m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[d_1(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - d_2(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{-m}\big] \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} |w(z)|^m dx \\ &\leq m\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|\nabla(\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n})|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\epsilon_n z + y_n)\Phi(|\Psi_{\epsilon_n,y_n}|)dx\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Note that the right side of the above inequality is bounded, while that

$$[d_1(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{\theta-m} - d_2(t_{\epsilon_n}w(z_0))^{-m}] \to +\infty,$$

what is a contradiction. Therefore (t_{ϵ_n}) is bounded, and for some subsequence, there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $t_{\epsilon_n} \to t_0$. Now, recalling that $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$, we know that $\|\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)\|_\epsilon \geq k$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Using again the Lebesgue's Theorem, it is possible to prove that

$$E'_{V_0}(t_0w)(t_0w) = 0 \text{ and } \|t_0w\|_{Y_{V_0}} \geq k,$$

implying that $t_0 > 0$ and $t_0w \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$. However, as w is a ground state solution, we must have $t_0 = 1$. Since $t_n \to 1$, we apply again the Lebesgue's Theorem to get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} I_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y_n)) = E_{V_0}(w) = d_{V_0},$$

finishing the proof. ■

In the sequel, we state an important result of compactness for for E_μ restricts to Nehari Manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\mu$. The proof is quite similar to the case where $\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{p}|t|^p$, which was made in [2], then we will omit its proof.

Lemma 5.2 ***(A Compactness Lemma)*** *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$ be a sequence satisfying $E_\mu(u_n) \to d_\mu$. Then,*

a) (u_n) has a subsequence strongly convergent in Y_μ, or

b) there exists a sequence $(\widetilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, up to a subsequence, $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \widetilde{y}_n)$ converges strongly in Y_μ.

In particular, there exists a minimizer for d_μ.

Our next result will be crucial to study the concentration of the solutions.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$ be such that $I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to d_{V_0}$. Then, there exists a sequence $(\widetilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $v_n(x) = u_n(x+\widetilde{y}_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in Y_{V_0}. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n \to y \in M$, where $y_n = \epsilon_n\widetilde{y}_n$.*

Proof. Applying the Lemma 4.3, we find a sequence $(\widetilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and positive constants R_0 and τ such that

$$\int_{B_{R_0}(\widetilde{y}_n)} \Phi(u_n)dx \geq \tau > 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Since, $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_n}$ and $I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \to d_{V_0}$, we know that (u_n) is bounded in Y_{V_0}. Then, setting $v_n(x) = u_n(x+\widetilde{y}_n)$, up to a subsequence, there is $v \in Y_{V_0} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in Y_{V_0} and $v \neq 0$ by (5.1). Let $t_n > 0$ such that $\widetilde{v}_n = t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$. Then,

$$E_{V_0}(\widetilde{v}_n) \leq I_\epsilon(t_n u_n) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\epsilon_n}(t u_n) = I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n),$$

and so,

$$E_{V_0}(\widetilde{v}_n) \to d_{V_0} \quad \text{and} \quad (\widetilde{v}_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_0}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using (5.2), it follows $\widetilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \widetilde{v}$ in Y_{V_0}. It is possible to check that (t_n) is bounded. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $t_n \to t_0 > 0$, implying that $\widetilde{v} = t_0 v$. Thus, it follows that $\widetilde{v}_n \to \widetilde{v}$ in Y_{V_0} showing that $v_n \to v$ in Y_{V_0}. Now, the proof follows as in [2, Proposition 4.1]. ■

In the sequel, for any $\delta > 0$, let $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if} \quad x \in B_\rho(0) \\ \dfrac{\rho x}{|x|}, & \text{if} \quad x \in B_\rho^c(0) \end{cases}$$

and $\beta : \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$\beta(u) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\epsilon x) \Phi(|u|) dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(|u|) dx}.$$

Lemma 5.4 *The function $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}$ satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\epsilon_n \to 0} \beta(\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y)) = y, \ \textit{uniformemente em } M.$$

Proof. The lemma follows by using the definition of $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\epsilon_n}(y)$ together with the Lebesgue's Theorem. ■

In the sequel, we consider the function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$h(\epsilon) = \sup_{y \in M} |I_\epsilon(\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y)) - d_{V_0}|,$$

which verifies, by Lemma 5.1, $\lim\limits_{\epsilon\to 0} h(\epsilon) = 0$. Moreover, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon \ : \ I_\epsilon(u) \le d_{V_0} + h(\epsilon)\}.$$

From Lemma 5.1, $\widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon(y) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon$, showing that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$. Using the above notation, we have the following result:

Lemma 5.5 *Let $\delta > 0$ and $M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ dist(x, M) \le \delta\}$. Then, the limit below hols*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \sup_{u\in\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon} \inf_{y\in M_\delta} \left|\beta(u) - y\right| = 0.$$

Proof. The proof follows as in [2, Lemma 4.4]. ■

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will divide the proof into two parts:

6.1 Part I: Multiplicity of solutions

For each $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, the Lemmas 5.1 and 5.5 ensure that $\beta \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ is homotopic to inclusion map $id : M \to M_\delta$, showing the following estimate holds

$$cat_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon) \ge cat_{M_\delta}(M).$$

Since I_ϵ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c \in (d_{V_0}, d_{V_0} + h(\epsilon))$, by the Lusternik-Schnirelman theory of critical points, we can conclude that I_ϵ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$. Consequently by Corollary 4.10, I_ϵ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in X_ϵ.

6.2 Part II: The behavior of maximum points

The next result plays an important role in the study of the behavior of the maximum points of the solutions.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $v_j \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a solution of the following problem*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi v_j + V_j(x)\phi(|v_j|)v_j & = & f(v_j) \ \ in\ \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v_j \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \\ v_j > 0 \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N, & & \end{array}\right. \qquad (P_j)$$

where $V_j(x) = V(\epsilon_j x + \epsilon_j \widetilde{y}_j)$, $\epsilon_j \widetilde{y}_j \to y$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $v_j \to v$ *in* $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. *Then* $v_j \in C^{1,\gamma}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *and there exists* $C > 0$ *such that* $\|v_j\|_\infty \leq C$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{N}$. *Furthermore*

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} v_j(x) = 0, \quad \textit{uniformly in } j.$$

In order to prove the above proposition, we will use the lemma below, which is a version of Lemma 3.5 for the nonautonomous case, whose the proof explores the same ideas.

Lemma 6.2 *If* $v_j \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is a solution of problem* (P_j), $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $R_0 > 0$, *then*

$$\int_{A_{j,k,t}} |\nabla v_j|^\gamma dx \leq c\left(\int_{A_{j,k,s}} \left| \frac{v_j - k}{s - t} \right|^{\gamma^*} dx + (k^{\gamma^*} + 1)|A_{j,k,s}| \right),$$

where $0 < t < s < R_0$, $k \geq k_0 \geq 1$, k_0 *to be chosen, and* $A_{j,k,\rho} = \{x \in B_\rho(x_0) \ : \ v_j(x) > k\}$.

Proof of Proposition 6.1

Proof. To begin with, fix $\sigma_n, \overline{\sigma}_n$ and K_n as in Lemma 3.6.

Step 1: There is $C > 0$ such that $\|v_j\|_\infty \leq C \ \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define for each j

$$J_{n,j} = \int_{A_{j,K_n,\sigma_n}} ((v_j - K_n)_+)^{\gamma^*} dx.$$

Repeating the same arguments found in proof of Lemma 3.6, we see that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$J_{n,j} \leq C A^\eta J_{n,j}^{1+\eta} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where C is independent of n. Now, we claim that

$$J_{0,j} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}} A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad j \approx +\infty.$$

Indeed, since $v_j \to v$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\limsup_{K_0 \to +\infty} \left(\limsup_{j \to +\infty} J_{0,j} \right) = \limsup_{K_0 \to +\infty} \left(\limsup_{j \to +\infty} \int_{A_{j,K_0,\sigma_0}} (v_j - K_0)_+^{\gamma^*} dx \right) = 0.$$

Then, there are $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K_0^* > 0$ such that

$$J_{0,j} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}} A^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq j_0 \ \text{ and } \ K_0 \geq K_0^*.$$

By Lemma [25, Lemma 4.7],

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} J_{n,j} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq j_0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} J_{n,j} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{A_{j,K_n,\sigma_n}} ((v_j - K_n)_+)^{\gamma^*} dx = \int_{A_{j,\frac{K}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((v_j - \frac{K}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*} dx.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{A_{j,\frac{K}{2},\frac{R_1}{2}}} ((v_j - \frac{K}{2})_+)^{\gamma^*} dx = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq j_0,$$

leading to

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{K}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } \ B_{\frac{R_1}{2}}(x_0), \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq j_0.$$

Since $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{K}{2} \quad \text{a.e in } \ \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq j_0,$$

that is,

$$\|v_j\|_\infty \leq \frac{K}{2} \ \ \forall j \geq j_0.$$

Setting $C = \max\{\frac{K}{2}, \|v_1\|_\infty, ..., \|v_{j_0-1}\|_\infty\}$, we have that

$$\|v_j\|_\infty \leq C \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Step 2: $v_j \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$**.**

This regularity follows applying results found in DiBenedetto [15] and Lieberman [27].

Step 3: $\lim\limits_{|x| \to +\infty} v_j(x) = 0$ uniformly in j.

Repeating the same arguments explored in Step 1, for each $\delta > 0$ we have that

$$\limsup_{|x_0|\to+\infty}\left(\limsup_{j\to+\infty} J_{0,j}\right) = \limsup_{|x_0|\to+\infty}\left(\limsup_{j\to+\infty} J_{0,j}\int_{A_{j,K_0,\sigma_0}}(v_j - \frac{\delta}{4})_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx\right) = 0.$$

Thereby, there are $R_* > 0$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$J_{0,j} \leq C^{\frac{1}{\eta}}B^{-\frac{1}{\eta^2}} \ \text{ when } \ |x_0| > R_* \ \text{ for } \ j \geq j_0.$$

Applying the Lemma [25, Lemma 4.7],

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_{n,j} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x_0| > R_*, \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq j_0,$$

that is,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{R_1}{2}}(x_0)}(v_j - \frac{\delta}{4})_+^{\gamma^*}\,dx = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x_0| > R_*, \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq j_0$$

showing that

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \quad \text{for} \ \ x \in B_{\frac{R_1}{2}}(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad |x_0| > R_*, \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq j_0.$$

Now, increasing R_* if necessary,

$$v_j(x) \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > R_* \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

finishing the proof. ■

Corollary 6.3 *Let $v_j \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)\backslash\{0\}$ a solution of (P_j). Then, v_j is positive.*

Proof. The proof follows by using similar arguments as in Corollary 3.7. ■

Lemma 6.4 *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|v_j\|_\infty > \alpha$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The proof follows arguing by contradiction and using the fact that $\|v_j\|_{\epsilon_j} \geq k$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where k was given in Lemma 4.1. ■

The behavior of maximum points Finally, as in [2], if u_{ϵ_n} is a solution of problem (P_{ϵ_n}). Then, $v_n(x) = u_{\epsilon_n}(x + \widetilde{y}_n)$ is a solution of problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -\Delta_\Phi v_n + V_n(x)\phi(|v_n|)v_n & = & f(v_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v_n \in W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N), & & \\ v_n > 0 \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N, & & \end{array}\right. \tag{P_n}$$

where $V_n(x) = V(\epsilon_n x + \epsilon_n \widetilde{y}_n)$ and $\widetilde{y}_n$ were given in Proposition 5.3. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $v_n \to v$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $y_n \to y$ in M, where $y_n = \epsilon_n \widetilde{y}_n$. Applying the Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.4, there exists $q_n \in B_{R_0}(0)$ such that $v_n(q_n) = \max\limits_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} v_n(z)$, for some $R_0 > 0$. Hence, $x_n = q_n + \widetilde{y}_n$ is a maximum point of u_{ϵ_n} and

$$\epsilon_n x_n \to y.$$

Since V is a continuous function, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} V(\epsilon_n x_n) = V(y) = V_0,$$

showing the concentration of the maximum points of the solutions near to minimum points of V. ■

References

[1] A. Adams and J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, 2nd ed., Academic Press (2003).

[2] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a p-Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Differential Integral Equations 19, no. 2, (2006) 143-162.

[3] C.O. Alves and G.M. Figueiredo, Multiplicity and concentration of Positive Solutions for a class of quasilinear problems. Adv., Nonlinear Stud. 11, no. 2, (2011) 265-294.

[4] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo and J.A. Santos, Strauss and Lions type results for a class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 44, no. 2, (2014) 435-456.

[5] C.O. Alves, M.L.M. Carvalho and J.V.A. Gonçalves, On existence of solution of variational multivalued elliptic equations with critical growth via the Ekeland principle, Commun. Contemp. Math. (2014). DOI: 10.1142/S0219199714500382.

[6] C.O. Alves and M.C. Ferreira, Multi-bump solutions for a class of quasilinear problems involving variable exponents, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2014). DOI 10.1007/s10231-014-0434-2.

[7] A. Ambrosetti and A. Malchiodi, Concentration phenomena for for NLS: recent results and new perspectives. Perspectives in nonlinear partial differential equations, Contemp. Math., 446, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007) 19-30.

[8] N. Benouhiba and Z. Belyacine, On the solutions of the (p, q)-Laplacian problem at resonance, Nonlinear Anal. 77, (2013) 74-81 .

[9] G. Bonanno, G.M. Bisci and V. Radulescu, Infinitely many solutions for a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 349, no. 5-6, (2011) 263-268.

[10] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88, no. 3, (1983) 486-490.

[11] F. Cammaroto and L. Vilasi, Multiple solutions for a nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 218, no. 23, (2012) 11518-11527.

[12] M.F. Chaves, G. Ercole and O.H. Miyagaki, Existence of a nontrivial solution for the (p, q)-Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Nonlinear Anal. 114, (2015) 133-141.

[13] D.G. Costa, On a class of elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Electron. J. Differential Equations 1994, no. 7, (1994) 1-14.

[14] M. del Pino and P.L. Felmer, Local mountain pass for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 4, no. 2, (1996) 121-137.

[15] E. DiBenedetto, $C^{1,\gamma}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 7, no. 8, (1985) 827-850.

[16] T.K. Donaldson and N.S. Trudinger, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems, J. Funct. Anal. 8, no. 1, (1971) 52-75.

[17] G.M. Figueiredo, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of $p\&q$ elliptic problems with critical exponent, Math. Nachr. 286, no. 11-12, (2013) 1129-1141.

[18] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential, J. Funct. Anal. 69, no. 3, (1986) 397-408.

[19] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Funkcial. Ekvac. 49, no. 2, (2006) 235-267.

[20] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, Quasilinear elliptic equations with slowly growing principal part and critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinear term, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 139, no. 1, (2009) 73-106.

[21] N. Fukagai and K. Narukawa, On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 186, no. 3, (2007) 539-564.

[22] M. Fuchs and L. Gongbao, L^∞-bounds for elliptic equations on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 72, no. 4, (1999) 293-297.

[23] N. Fusco and C. Sbordone, Some remarks on the regularity of minima of anisotropic integrals, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 18, no. 1-2, (1993) 153-167.

[24] C. Gui, Existence of multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations via variational method, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21, no. 5-6, (1996) 787-820.

[25] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Acad. Press (1968).

[26] G. Li and X. Liang, The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic equation of p-q-Laplacian type on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Nonlinear Anal. 71, no. 5-6, (2009) 2316-2334.

[27] G.M. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 12, no. 11, (1988) 1203-1219.

[28] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, Nonhomogeneous Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346, no. 7-8, (2008) 401-406.

[29] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a quasilinear non- homogeneous problems: An Orlicz-Sobolev space setting., J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330, no. 1, (2007) 416-432.

[30] Y.G. Oh, Existence of semi-classical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_\alpha$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 13, no. 12, (1988) 1499-1519.

[31] Y.G. Oh, On positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under multiple well potential, Comm. Math. Phys. 131, no. 2, (1990) 223-253.

[32] M.N. Rao and Z.D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York (1985).

[33] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43, no. 2, (1992) 270-291.

[34] J.A. Santos, Multiplicity of solutions for quasilinear equations involving critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinear terms, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2013, no. 249, (2013) 1-13.

[35] Z. Tan and F. Fang, Orlicz-Sobolev versus Holder local minimizer and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402, no. 1, (2013) 348-370.

[36] N.S. Trudinger, On Harnack type inequalities and their applicatoin to quasilinear elliptic equations, Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XX, 721-747, (1967).

[37] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhauser, (1996).